

MISSOURI RIVER BASIN TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

Water Body: South Park Lake (South Lake Park) Water Quality Impairment: Eutrophication

Subbasin: Lower Missouri-Crooked **County:** Johnson

HUC 8: 10300101 **HUC 11 (HUC 14):** 010 (040)

Drainage Area: Approximately 0.28 square miles

Conservation Pool: Area = 4.7 acres, Mean Depth = 1.3 meters

Designated Uses: Secondary Contact Recreation; Expected Aquatic Life Support; Food Procurement

1998 303d Listing: Table 4 - Water Quality Limited Lakes

Impaired Use: All uses are impaired to a degree by eutrophication

Water Quality Standard: Nutrients - Narrative: The introduction of plant nutrients into streams, lakes, or wetlands from artificial sources shall be controlled to prevent the accelerated succession or replacement of aquatic biota or the production of undesirable quantities or kinds of aquatic life. (KAR 28-16-28e(c)(2)(B)).

The introduction of plant nutrients into surface waters designated for primary or secondary contact recreational use shall be controlled to prevent the development of objectionable concentrations of algae or algal by-products or nuisance growths of submersed, floating, or emergent aquatic vegetation. (KAR 28-16-28e(c)(7)(A)).

2. CURRENT WATER QUALITY CONDITION AND DESIRED ENDPOINT


Level of Eutrophication: Hypereutrophic, Trophic State Index = 67.83

Monitoring Sites: Station 067501 in South Park Lake (Figure 1).

Period of Record Used: One survey in 1989.

Figure 1

South Park Lake TMDL Reference Map



Current Condition:

At the time of the 1989 survey, South Park Lake had elevated chlorophyll a concentrations averaging 44.6 ppb. This relates to a Trophic State Index of 67.83, indicating hypereutrophic conditions. The average, total phosphorus concentration was 40 ppb over the period of record. This total phosphorus data was not analyzed by KDHE and is suspect. Based on this data, the chlorophyll a to total phosphorus yield appears extremely high. According to the CNET model, the total phosphorus concentration should be closer to 73 ppb.

Since the 1989 survey, South Park Lake has been drained of water and dredged of some silt material. Bank stabilization improvements and other modifications (such as adding aerators) were made to improve water quality. Currently, the lake is drained and has been dredged to an unknown mean depth. The project should be completed by April 1, 2002.

The Trophic State Index is derived from the chlorophyll a concentration. Trophic state assessments of potential algal productivity were made based on chlorophyll a concentrations, nutrient levels and values of the Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI). Generally, some degree of eutrophic conditions is seen with chlorophyll a concentrations over 7 ug/l and hypereutrophy occurs at levels over 30 ug/L. The Carlson TSI, derives from the chlorophyll concentrations and scales the trophic state as follows:

- | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Oligotrophic | TSI < 40 |
| 2. Mesotrophic | TSI: 40 - 49.99 |
| 3. Slightly Eutrophic | TSI: 50 - 54.99 |
| 4. Fully Eutrophic | TSI: 55 - 59.99 |
| 5. Very Eutrophic | TSI: 60 - 63.99 |
| 6. Hypereutrophic | TSI: ≥ 64 |

Interim Endpoints of Water Quality (Implied Load Capacity) at South Park Lake over 2005 - 2009:


The desired endpoint will be summer chlorophyll a concentrations at or below 20 ug/l, corresponding to a trophic state of eutrophic conditions by 2009. Refined endpoints will be developed in 2005 to reflect additional sampling and artificial source assessment and confirmation of impaired status of the lake.

3. SOURCE INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT

Land Use: The watershed around South Park Lake has a moderate potential for nonpoint source pollutants. An annual phosphorus load of 186.1 pounds per year is necessary to correspond to the concentrations seen in the lake.

Fertilizer applications to lawns within the drainage and stormwater delivery to the lake are probably primary loading sources (Figure 2). The watershed is 85.4% residential, 5.9% commercial/industrial, and 5.4% urban grassland. The population of Overland Park is projected to increase 46.1% by the year 2020. The population is high (2,853 people/square mile).

Figure 2



Contributing Runoff: The watershed's average soil permeability is 0.7 inches/hour according to NRCS STATSGO database. About 100% of the watershed produces runoff even under relatively low (1.5"/hr) potential rainfall conditions. Runoff is chiefly generated as infiltration excess with rainfall intensities greater than soil permeabilities. As the watershed's soil profiles become saturated, excess overland flow is produced. Generally, storms producing less than 0.5"/hr of rain will generate runoff from only 1.1% of this watershed, chiefly along the stream channels.

Background Levels: The Canadian geese waste, nutrient recycling, atmospheric deposition, and geological formations (i.e., soil and bedrock) may contribute to phosphorus loads.

4. ALLOCATION OF POLLUTANT REDUCTION RESPONSIBILITY

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient in South Park Lake and allocated under this TMDL. The general inventory of sources within the drainage does provide guidance as to areas of load reduction.

Point Sources: A current Wasteload Allocation of zero is established by this TMDL because of the lack of point sources in the watershed. Should future point sources be proposed in the watershed and discharge into the impaired segments, the current Wasteload allocation will be revised by adjusting current load allocations to account for the presence and impact of these new point source dischargers.

Nonpoint Sources: Water quality violations are predominantly due to nonpoint source pollutants. Background levels may be attributed to nutrient recycling. The assessment suggests that urban runoff contributes to the elevated total phosphorus concentrations in the lake. Generally a Load Allocation of 59.3 pounds of total phosphorus per year, leading to a 64.6% reduction, is necessary to reach the endpoint.

Defined Margin of Safety: The margin of safety provides some hedge against the uncertainty of variable annual total phosphorus loads and the chlorophyll a endpoint. Therefore, the margin of safety will be 6.6 pounds per year of total phosphorus taken from the load capacity subtracted to compensate for the lack of knowledge about the relationship between the allocated loadings and the resulting water quality.

State Water Plan Implementation Priority: Because more data is needed to determine the trophic state of the lake, the South Park Lake TMDL will be a Low Priority for implementation.

Unified Watershed Assessment Priority Ranking: This watershed lies within the Lower Missouri-Crooked (HUC 8: 10300101) with a priority ranking of 32 (Medium Priority for restoration).

Priority HUC 11s: The watershed is within HUC 11 (010).

5. IMPLEMENTATION

Desired Implementation Activities

There is some potential for reducing pollutant loads to this lake through the use of urban best management practices.

Implementation Programs Guidance

Until the 2006 assessment of the continuation of monitoring is made, no direction can be made to those implementation programs.

Time Frame for Implementation: Continued monitoring over the years from 2001 to 2005.

Targeted Participants: Primary participants for implementation will be homeowners within the drainage of the lake. A detailed assessment of sources will be conducted by KDHE over 2002-2005.

Milestone for 2006: The year 2006 marks the midpoint of the ten-year implementation window for the watershed. At that point in time, sampled data from South Park Lake will be reexamined to confirm the impaired status of the lake. Should the case of impairment remain, source assessment, allocation and implementation activities will ensue.

Delivery Agents: Depending upon confirmation of impairment and assessment of probable sources, the primary delivery agents for program participation will be the City of Overland Park and the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks.

Reasonable Assurances:

Authorities: The following authorities may be used to direct activities in the watershed to reduce pollutants.

1. K.S.A. 65-171d empowers the Secretary of KDHE to prevent water pollution and to protect the beneficial uses of the waters of the state through required treatment of sewage and established water quality standards and to require permits by persons having a potential to discharge pollutants into the waters of the state.
2. K.S.A. 2-1915 empowers the State Conservation Commission to develop programs to assist the protection, conservation and management of soil and water resources in the state, including riparian areas.
3. K.S.A. 75-5657 empowers the State Conservation Commission to provide financial assistance for local project work plans developed to control nonpoint source pollution.
4. K.S.A. 82a-901, et seq. empowers the Kansas Water Office to develop a state water

plan directing the protection and maintenance of surface water quality for the waters of the state.

5. K.S.A. 82a-951 creates the State Water Plan Fund to finance the implementation of the *Kansas Water Plan*.

6. The *Kansas Water Plan* and the Missouri Basin Plan provide the guidance to state agencies to coordinate programs intent on protecting water quality and to target those programs to geographic areas of the state for high priority in implementation.

Funding: The State Water Plan Fund annually generates \$16-18 million and is the primary funding mechanism for implementing water quality protection and pollutant reduction activities in the state through the *Kansas Water Plan*. The state water planning process, overseen by the Kansas Water Office, coordinates and directs programs and funding toward watersheds and water resources of highest priority. Typically, the state allocates at least 50% of the fund to programs supporting water quality protection. This watershed and its TMDL are a Low Priority consideration and should not receive funding until after 2006.

Effectiveness: Effectiveness of corrective actions will depend upon the sources which contribute to the impairment at the lake.

6. MONITORING

Further sampling and evaluation should occur twice before 2005.

7. FEEDBACK

Public Meeting: A public meeting to discuss TMDLs in the Missouri Basin was held February 28, 2001 in Atchison. An active Internet Web site was established at <http://www.kdhe.state.ks.us/tmdl/> to convey information to the public on the general establishment of TMDLs and specific TMDLs for the Missouri Basin.

Public Hearing: A Public Hearing on the TMDLs of the Missouri Basin was held in Hiawatha on May 29, 2001.

Basin Advisory Committee: The Missouri Basin Advisory Committee met to discuss the TMDLs in the basin on October 4, 2000, February 28 and May 29, 2001.

Milestone Evaluation: In 2006, evaluation will be made as to the degree of impairment which has occurred within the drainage and current condition of South Park Lake. Subsequent decisions will be made regarding implementation approach and follow up of additional implementation.

Consideration for 303d Delisting: The lake will be evaluated for delisting under Section 303(d), based on the monitoring data over the period 2005-2009. Therefore, the decision for delisting will come about in the preparation of the 2010 303(d) list. Should modifications be made to the applicable water quality criteria during the ten-year implementation period, consideration for delisting, desired endpoints of this TMDL and implementation activities may be adjusted accordingly.

Incorporation into Continuing Planning Process, Water Quality Management Plan and the Kansas Water Planning Process: Under the current version of the Continuing Planning Process, the next anticipated revision will come in 2002 which will emphasize revision of the Water Quality Management Plan. At that time, incorporation of this TMDL will be made into both documents. Recommendations of this TMDL will be considered in *Kansas Water Plan* implementation decisions under the State Water Planning Process for Fiscal Years 2002-2006.

Bibliography

Carney, C. Edward 1999, *Requested information on the two TMDL "review themes" you received from EPA which relate to lakes* [Memorandum] 5 Aug. 1999

Liscek, Bonnie C. 2001, *Reference for Determining Limitation/Co-Limitation of Nutrients* [Memorandum] 18 Jun. 2001

Stiles, Thomas C. 1999, *Rationale and Reference to Selected TMDL Issues* [Memorandum] 6 Aug. 1999