

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENT
QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

PART III:

ENVIRONMENTAL USE CONTROL PROGRAM
QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN



January 9, 2019

Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Division of Environment
Bureau of Environmental Remediation
Curtis State Office Building
1000 SW Jackson, Suite 410
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1367

Concurrences and Approvals

Concurrences, KDHE Division of Environment, Bureau of Environmental Remediation

Name: Andrea Schiller
Title: Unit Manager, Long Term Stewardship and Brownfields

Signature Andrea Schiller Date 2/21/19

Name: Jorge Jacobs
Title: Section Chief, Redevelopment Section

Signature Jorge Jacobs Date 2/21/19

Name: Jeff Janzen
Title: QA Representative, Bureau of Environmental Remediation

Signature Jeff Janzen Date 2/20/19

Name: Bob Jurgens
Title: Director, Bureau of Environmental Remediation

Signature Bob Jurgens Date 2/21/19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 PURPOSE OF PLAN.....	1
1.2 PLAN REVISIONS	1
DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	2
2.1 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW	2
2.2 MISSIONS AND GOALS.....	2
2.3 ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3
QUALITY ASSURANCE POLICY STATEMENT.....	5
QUALITY ASSURANCE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES	6
4.1 SAMPLING TYPES.....	6
4.2 REQUESTING ANALYTICAL SERVICES	6
4.3 DATA VALIDATION AND REPORTING	6
4.4 PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSING DATA ACCURACY, PRECISION, COMPLETENESS, REPRESENTATIVENESS AND COMPARABILITY	7
4.4.1 Accuracy:.....	7
4.4.2 Precision:.....	7
4.4.3 Completeness:.....	7
4.4.4 Representativeness:.....	7
4.4.5 Comparability:	7
4.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	7
4.6 CORRECTIVE ACTION PROCEDURES	8
REFERENCES.....	9

Section 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF PLAN

This document presents the Quality Assurance Management Plan for the Environmental Use Control Program. The plan describes the mission, developmental history, organizational structure, environmental monitoring protocols, data handling procedures, quality assurance (QA), and quality control (QC) requirements of this program. Standard operating procedures (SOPs) and equipment used in the program are presented in Appendix A.

1.2 PLAN REVISIONS

To be effective and useable, this document must be maintained in an up-to-date condition. As required by the Division of Environment Quality Management Plan (Part I, section 7), the contents of the plan are reviewed on at least an annual basis. Minor changes in the report's organizational structure or terminology may be approved by the Section Chief. However, major revisions which substantially change the contents of the document, especially in terms of QA policies or procedures, require the added approval of the Bureau QA Representative and the Bureau Director.

Section 2

DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM

2.1 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

The Environmental Use Control Act was enacted by the Kansas Legislature on July 1, 2003 (Kansas Statutes Annotated 65-1,221 through 65-1,235) to establish the Environmental Use Control (EUC) Program. Rules and regulations (Kansas Administrative Regulations 28-73-1 through 28-71-7) for implementing the new law were adopted on April 7, 2006. The regulations were amended January 30, 2009, to expand the program by redefining "eligible property" in K.A.R. 28-73-1(c) to include properties defined as "hazardous waste facilities" by K.S.A. 65-3430(f). The EUC Program provides a legal mechanism for applying restrictions, prohibitions, and conditions on land use for a property that has environmental contamination at levels prohibiting unrestricted use; that is, a property with contaminant concentrations that exceed residential standards. The EUC Program is administered by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), Bureau of Environmental Remediation (BER).

2.2 MISSIONS AND GOALS

Under the EUC Program, environmental use controls can be applied to a contaminated property by the landowner as part of the overall remedial strategy to mitigate risk posed to public health and the environment. Properties accepted into the program will have an environmental use control agreement (EUCA) drafted describing restrictions or requirements placed on the property which often times includes, but are not limited to: restricting groundwater use, future use of the property for residential purposes, and digging or other types of excavation activities on the property without prior notice to KDHE; maintenance of a protective structure, cap or cover; establishing and maintaining erosional controls for a property; restricting access to the property by installing a fence; performing additional investigation in areas of new construction or beneath existing structures once they have been removed, and providing notifications to workers, etc. The completed EUCA and associated long-term care agreement, if determined necessary, must be recorded with the register of deeds in the county where the property is located. In most cases, development of a property is still achievable within the framework of an EUCA, allowing a property which was previously underutilized due to environmental concerns to be put to beneficial and productive use and/or be made more attractive to prospective buyers, and at the same time, provide protection from exposure to residual contamination. EUCs also protect the seller of a property by informing future landowners of the restrictions or conditions necessary to mitigate the environmental liability concerns associated with a property.

The primary mission of the EUC Program is to provide a voluntary mechanism to assist existing programs address environmental contamination and achieve eventual site closure in a cost effective manner that is protective of human health and the environment.

2.3 ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

(See Exhibit 1 in the BER QA Plan Part II)

The EUC Program is administered by the Long-Term Stewardship/Brownfields Unit of the Redevelopment Section in the BER. The Bureau Director's responsibilities are defined in Part II of the Bureau Quality Assurance Plan. The Redevelopment Section Chief is responsible for supervising the Unit Manager of the Long-Term Stewardship/Brownfields Unit, which manages the day-to-day operations of the EUC Program. The Bureau Director and Section Chief are involved with the EUC Program for administrative and budget purposes, and on an as-needed basis, which may constitute strategic planning, policy development and implementation, and matters related to community involvement, among others. The implementation of the policies and procedures for the EUC Program is the joint responsibility of the Section Chief and Unit Manager. In addition, the Section Chief and the Unit Manager are responsible for planning, organizing, supervising and directing the statewide activities of the EUC Program. The Section Chief is also responsible for coordination between the units within the Redevelopment Section.

The Unit Manager oversees the EUC Program and is responsible for ensuring that the Quality Assurance Management Plan and SOPs are consistently implemented and followed. Working with the program staff, the Unit Manager oversees site activities to ensure reliability of environmental data collected and reflect the mission and goals of the Quality Management Plan.

EUC Program staff provides general regulatory oversight of environmental investigations and cleanup activities performed relative to the EUC Program. EUC Program project managers are responsible for the following functions:

- meet with property owners to explain the goals and objectives of the EUC Program and overall EUC process;
- review and evaluate EUC applications for completeness, eligibility, and recommend the acceptance of the property into the program;
- draft letters of eligibility or acknowledgement to the property owners and/or EUC applicant;
- review site files and meet with the Site project manager to gain knowledge of property conditions, the contaminated media, residual contaminants currently on-site, restrictions presented in the application, any monitoring requirements, and any other pertinent information about the property;
- complete environmental use control agreements and long-term care agreements as necessary;

- complete tracking updates;
- perform inspections of properties where EUCs have been established and review property owner inspection reports to ensure restrictions are being maintained and continue to be protective of human health and the environment;
- review and evaluate geologic and/or hydrogeologic long-term monitoring work plans and reports for completeness, accuracy and technical adequacy;
- provide technical commentary to allow for corrective measures of identified omissions, deficiencies or errors in draft and final work plans and reports;
- review long-term monitoring data to evaluate the effectiveness of the implemented remedy and to determine if further or alternative remedial methods will be required;
- collect split, duplicate, or other quality control environmental samples to ensure the representativeness, precision and accuracy of environmental data collected at sites throughout the long-term monitoring process; and
- represent the Agency at public meetings, availability sessions, and other forums to present information regarding program activities.

Section 3

QUALITY ASSURANCE POLICY STATEMENT

Project managers do not possess a distinct set of standard operating procedures for administration of quality assurance aspects of the EUC Program. The primary responsibility of project managers within the EUC Program is generally limited to reviewing and approving applications, long-term monitoring work plans, and inspection reports submitted by the property owner or their contractors. For properties where it has been determined long-term monitoring is required, the property owner or their contractor must prepare and present a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) as part of a long-term monitoring work plan. These QAPPs are reviewed by EUC Program project managers to determine their ability to satisfy quality assurance and quality control objectives established and documented in the KDHE Quality Management Plan.

Project managers and/or designated qualified staff routinely inspect field activities to ensure field activities are performed in accord with the KDHE approved QAPP. These oversight activities routinely include the collection of split, duplicate, or collocated environmental samples to ensure the representativeness, precision, and accuracy of the various samples collected at a site. All sampling activities conducted by EUC Program project managers or designated technicians comply with the following goals:

- With the exception of routine split sampling and project oversight activities conducted by KDHE, the purpose and objective of long-term monitoring activities shall be documented and approved by KDHE prior to implementation and initiating data collection activities. The purpose, objective, and associated field methodologies shall be submitted in the form of a work plan, which must be reviewed by the project manager. It is project managers' responsibility to ensure the proposed activities are compliant with KDHE's Quality Management Plan and for the intended use of the data. This process will help facilitate effective communication between KDHE and the property owner/contractor and may enhance the probability of meeting the stated objectives.
- All data collection activities will be accomplished and documented in accordance with a Divisional QA plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), included in Appendix A.

Section 4

QUALITY ASSURANCE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES

4.1 SAMPLING TYPES

Program staff collecting quality control environmental samples adhere to the sample collection procedures specified in the KDHE-approved site-specific QAPP and Field Sampling Plan (FSP). KDHE's approval of the site-specific plans are dependent upon the plans perceived compliance with appropriate field methods and sampling protocols, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) contained within the KDHE Quality Management Plan, and the site-specific QAPPs and FSPs. The purpose of the QAPP and FSP is to ensure that data generated from sample collection activities will be compliant with quality assurance goals such as representativeness, completeness, precision, accuracy, etc.

4.2 REQUESTING ANALYTICAL SERVICES

Environmental samples collected by EUC Program staff are submitted to KDHE's Division of Health and Environmental Laboratories or to KDHE-certified contract laboratories.

Each laboratory must adhere to the appropriate EPA laboratory method protocols. Samples are submitted to the laboratory following appropriate sample handling and chain-of-custody requirements. Each contract laboratory must submit their QAPP prior to consideration for state contract. In addition to reporting the results of the environmental samples submitted, the laboratory must submit the appropriate laboratory method batch QA/QC outcomes including, among others, surrogate recovery, matrix spike recovery, laboratory blanks, and other laboratory QC samples. In addition, project planning documentation may necessitate collection of additional quality control samples, such as trip blanks, equipment rinsate blanks, duplicates, inter-laboratory duplicates, etc. The data must be reported with the appropriate lab qualifiers, if any, and signed by the laboratory technician or lab manager.

4.3 DATA VALIDATION AND REPORTING

Site-specific QAPPs establish a data management system for each project which describes the necessary field and laboratory QA/QC requirements. Upon completion of field work, data are evaluated and validated in accord with the QAPP and applicable EPA guidance. Project managers review all the information and data to determine whether data quality indicators such as completeness, representativeness, precision, accuracy, and comparability were within defined threshold tolerances.

For each measurement, the data reduction scheme, including all equations used to calculate the concentration or value of the measured parameter, should be described. The principal criteria employed to validate the integrity of the data during collection and reporting should be referenced. All data collected should be validated at the appropriate field or laboratory quality

control level to ascertain whether they are appropriate for the intended use. All task management and quality controls implemented shall be documented within the appropriate report appendix.

4.4 PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSING DATA ACCURACY, PRECISION, COMPLETENESS, REPRESENTATIVENESS AND COMPARABILITY

The quality characteristics of data generated by sampling, monitoring, or analysis, are defined in the following terms:

4.4.1 ACCURACY:

Accuracy is a measure of the overall agreement of a measurement to a known value; it includes a combination of random error and systematic error components of both sampling and analytical operations.

4.4.2 PRECISION:

Precision is a quantitative measure of agreement among repeated measurements of the same property, under identical or substantially similar conditions; calculated as either the range, standard deviation or as a percentage of the mean of the measurements.

4.4.3 COMPLETENESS:

Completeness is a measure of the amount of the valid data obtained from a measurement system, compared with the amount that was expected to be obtained under correct normal conditions, and that was needed to be obtained in meeting the project data quality objectives.

4.4.4 REPRESENTATIVENESS:

Representativeness is a qualitative term that expresses the degree to which data accurately and precisely represents a characteristic of population, the parameter variations at a sampling point, a process condition, or an environmental condition.

4.4.5 COMPARABILITY:

Comparability is a qualitative term that expresses the measure of confidence that one data set can be compared to another.

4.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

All reports or deliverables submitted to the EUC Program require a data validation summary for the project which addresses the overall quality of data generated and any conditions adverse to

the quality. The data validation summary should describe all data validation activities and discuss, in detail, the results of analysis of quality control samples and their effect on primary data. The summary should provide an overall assessment of the data evaluated with respect to precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, comparability, the general acceptability and usability of the data and any quality assurance problems and proposed solutions or corrective actions.

EUC Program staff performing field work are subject to audits conducted by the Agency's designated QA/QC officer. Results of any field audit performed will be reported to the Program Manager and the Redevelopment Section Chief. All field audits are reviewed by the project manager, Program Manager and Redevelopment Section Chief to confirm that staff are adhering to the site-specific QAPP, FSP and/or Agency Quality Management Plan, as appropriate.

4.6 CORRECTIVE ACTION PROCEDURES

Within the context of quality assurance, corrective actions are procedures that may be implemented on environmental samples that do not meet predetermined specifications or tolerances. In general, the corrective action procedures program addresses the analysis of any cause precipitating a negative audit finding and identifies the appropriate corrective action(s) necessary to address it. Program staff, or the appropriate quality assurance/quality control program designee, are responsible for reviewing data validation summaries, audit reports and nonconformance reports, to identify significant or repetitious conditions adverse to quality, or deficiencies regarding the implementation or adherence to required quality assurance practices. In addition, the program staff, or QA/QC designee, is required to investigate the source(s) of the problem and is responsible for defining and/or implementing the necessary actions to remedy the problem.

REFERENCES

EPA, 2001a, *EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)*, EPA/240/B-01/002, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/r2-final.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, March.

EPA, 2001b, *EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R-5)*, EPA/240/B-01/003, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/r5-final.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, March.

EPA, 2002, *Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)*, EPA/240/R-02/009, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g5-final.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, December.

EPA, 1987, *Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities*, EPA/540/G-87/003, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response and Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, March.

EPA, 1987, *A Compendium of Superfund Field Operations Methods*, EPA/540/P-87/001, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, December.

EPA, 1988, *Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA*, EPA/540/G-89/004, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/policy/remedy/pdfs/540g-89004-s.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, October.

EPA, 2008, National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, EPA-540-R-08-01, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/download/somnfg.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, Washington DC, June.

EPA, 2010a, National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Inorganic Methods Data Review, EPA-540-R-10-011, available online at <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/download/ism/ism1nfg.pdf>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, Washington DC, January.