



**Current Condition:** The lake has an average summer chlorophyll a concentration of 58.5 ppb indicating a hypereutrophic condition. The average total phosphorus concentration is 120 ppb. Phosphorus appears to be the primary limiting factor. Chlorophyll-to-phosphorus yield is high. There is low-to-moderate inorganic turbidity, with no deficiency of light within the water column.

The Trophic State Index of 70.5 is derived from the chlorophyll a concentration. Trophic state assessments of potential algal productivity were made based on chlorophyll a concentrations, nutrient levels and values of the Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI). Generally, some degree of eutrophic conditions are seen with chlorophyll a concentrations over 12 ug/l and hypereutrophy occurs at levels over 20 ug/l. The Carlson TSI, derives from the chlorophyll concentrations and scales the trophic state as follows:

- |                       |                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Oligotrophic       | TSI < 40        |
| 2. Mesotrophic        | TSI: 40 - 49.99 |
| 3. Slightly Eutrophic | TSI: 50 - 54.99 |
| 4. Fully Eutrophic    | TSI: 55 - 59.99 |
| 5. Very Eutrophic     | TSI: 60 - 63.99 |
| 6. Hypereutrophic     | TSI: ≥ 64       |

**Interim Endpoint of Water Quality at Central Park Lake in 2008:**

In order to improve the trophic condition of the lake from its current hypereutrophic status, the desired endpoint will be summer chlorophyll a concentrations at or below 20 ug/l, corresponding to a trophic state of eutrophic conditions by 2008. Refined endpoints will be developed in 2004 to reflect additional sampling and artificial source assessment and confirmation of impaired status of lake.

**3. SOURCE INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT**

**Land Use:** The primary source of phosphorus within Central Park Lake watershed is probably runoff from urban lands where phosphorus has been applied. Land use coverage analysis indicates that 50% of the watershed is urban and 50% is urban parkland. No point sources are present within the lake’s drainage. Fertilizer applications to lawns within the drainage and stormwater delivery to the lake are probably primary loading sources. An annual phosphorus load of 13 pounds per year is necessary to correspond to the concentrations seen in the lake.

**Background Levels:** Nutrient recycling from the sediments in the lake is likely contributing available phosphorus to the lake for algal uptake.

**4. ALLOCATION OF POLLUTION REDUCTION RESPONSIBILITY**

More detailed assessment of sources and confirmation of the trophic state of the lake must be completed before detailed allocations can be made. The general inventory of sources within the drainage does provide some guidance as to areas of load reduction.

**Point Sources:** Since there are no point sources within the drainage, there will be no Wasteload Allocation assigned to point sources for nutrients under this TMDL.

**Non-Point Sources:** Non-Point sources within the lake drainage provides the loading of phosphorus into the lake through runoff from lawns and stormwater. Generally a Load Allocation of 5.8 pounds per year, leading to a 50% reduction in available phosphorus is necessary to reach the endpoint.

**Defined Margin of Safety:** The margin of safety provides some hedge against the uncertainty of variable annual total phosphorus loads and the chlorophyll a endpoint. Therefore, the margin of safety will be 0.7 pounds per year of total phosphorus taken from the load capacity to ensure that adequate load reduction occurs to meet the endpoint.

**State Water Plan Implementation Priority:** This TMDL will be a Low Priority for implementation because of the limited size and drainage of Central Park Lake, its location under sole municipal jurisdiction and a more detailed source assessments and additional in-lake monitoring of nutrient and algal content is needed.

**Unified Watershed Assessment Priority Ranking:** This watershed lies within the Middle Kansas Subbasin (HUC 8: 10270102) with a priority ranking of 4 (Highest Priority for restoration work).

## **5. IMPLEMENTATION**

### **Desired Implementation Activities**

The small size of the drainage and the urbanized nature of the current land use, limit the amount and type of BMP that might be applied here. However, there should be significant opportunity to reduce pollutant inputs through managed lawn fertilizer application, stormwater management and adjacent parkland management around the lake.

### **Implementation Programs Guidance**

Until additional assessment of probable non-point sources and in-lake nutrient content is made, no direction can be made to those implementation programs.

**Timeframe for Implementation:** Non-point source pollution reduction practices should be placed within the lake drainage after the year 2004 re-evaluation of the lake's trophic state.

**Targeted Participants:** Primary participants for implementation will be Topeka park and utility personnel and homeowners within the drainage. A detailed assessment of sources will be conducted by KDHE over 2002-2004.

**Milestone for 2004:** The year 2004 marks the mid-point of the ten year implementation window for the lake. At that point in time, additional monitoring data from Station 060901 will be re-examined to confirm the impaired status of the lake. Should the case of impairment remain, source assessment, allocation and implementation activities will ensue.

**Delivery Agents:** Depending upon confirmation of impairment and assessment of probable sources, the primary delivery agents for program participation will be Topeka city officials.

**Reasonable Assurances:**

**Authorities:** The following authorities may be used to direct activities in the watershed to reduce pollution.

1. K.S.A. 65-171d empowers the Secretary of KDHE to prevent water pollution and to protect the beneficial uses of the waters of the state through established water quality standards.
2. K.S.A. 2-1915 empowers the State Conservation Commission to develop programs to assist the protection, conservation and management of soil and water resources in the state, including riparian areas.
3. K.S.A. 75-5657 empowers the State Conservation Commission to provide financial assistance for local project work plans developed to control non-point source pollution.
4. K.S.A. 82a-901, et seq. empowers the Kansas Water Office to develop a state water plan directing the protection and maintenance of surface water quality for the waters of the state.
5. K.S.A. 82a-951 creates the State Water Plan Fund to finance the implementation of the *Kansas Water Plan*.
6. The *Kansas Water Plan* and the Kansas-Lower Republican Basin Plan provide the guidance to state agencies to coordinate programs intent on protecting water quality and to target those programs to geographic areas of the state for high priority in implementation.

**Funding:** The State Water Plan Fund, annually generates \$16-18 million and is the primary funding mechanism for implementing water quality protection and pollution reduction activities in the state through the *Kansas Water Plan*. The state water planning process, overseen by the Kansas Water Office, coordinates and directs programs and funding toward watersheds and water resources of highest priority. Typically, the state allocates at least 50% of the fund to programs supporting water quality protection. This watershed and its TMDL is a Low Priority consideration and should not receive funding until its impairment is confirmed after 2004.

**Effectiveness:** Effectiveness of corrective actions will depend upon the sources which contribute to the impairment at the lake.

## 6. MONITORING

KDHE will collect nutrient and chlorophyll a samples from Central Park Lake in 2001 and 2003. Additional data, to establish nutrient ratios, source loading and further determine mean summer lake trophic condition, would be of value prior to 2004. If lake impairment is confirmed in 2004, further sampling and evaluation should occur in 2005 and 2007.

## 7. FEEDBACK

**Public Meetings:** Public meetings to discuss TMDLs in the KLR Basin were held March 10, 1999 in Topeka, April 27 in Lawrence and April 29 in Manhattan. An active Internet Web site was established at <http://www.kdhe.state.ks.us/tmdl/> to convey information to the public on the general establishment of TMDLs and specific TMDLs for the Kansas-Lower Republican Basin.

**Public Hearing:** A Public Hearing on the TMDLs of the Kansas-Lower Republican Basin was held in Topeka on June 3, 1999.

**Basin Advisory Committee:** The Kansas-Lower Republican Basin Advisory Committee met to discuss the TMDLs in the basin on December 3, 1998; January 14, 1999; February 18, 1999; March 10, 1999; May 20, 1999 and June 3, 1999.

**Discussion with Interest Groups:** Meetings to discuss TMDLs with interest groups include:  
Agriculture: November 10, 1998; December 18, 1998; February 10, 1999; April 10, 1999, May 4, 1999, June 8, 1999 and June 18, 1999.  
Municipal: November 12, 1998, January 25, 1999; March 1, 1999; May 10, 1999 and June 16, 1999.  
Environmental: November 3, 1998; December 16, 1998; February 13, 1999; March 15, 1999, April 7, 1999 and May 3, 1999.  
Conservation Districts: March 16-18, 24-25, 1999

**Milestone Evaluation:** In 2004, evaluation will be made as to the degree of impairment and necessary implementation and current condition of Central Park Lake..

**Consideration for 303d Delisting:** The lake will be evaluated for delisting under Section 303d, based on the monitoring data over the period 1999-2003. Therefore, the decision for delisting will come about in the preparation of the 2008 303d list. Should modifications be made to the applicable water quality criteria during the ten year implementation period, consideration for delisting, desired endpoints of this TMDL and implementation activities may be adjusted accordingly.

**Incorporation into Continuing Planning Process, Water Quality Management Plan and the Kansas Water Planning Process:** Under the current version of the Continuing Planning Process, the next anticipated revision will come in 2002 which will emphasize revision of the Water Quality Management Plan. At that time, incorporation of this TMDL will be made into both documents. Recommendations of this TMDL will be considered in *Kansas Water Plan* implementation decisions under the State Water Planning Process after Fiscal Year 2004.

Approved January 26, 2000.